

HILBERT SERIES OF QUADRATIC ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH PSEUDO-ROOTS OF NONCOMMUTATIVE POLYNOMIALS

ISRAEL GELFAND, SERGEI GELFAND, VLADIMIR RETAKH,
SHIRLEI SERCONEK AND ROBERT LEE WILSON

Abstract

The quadratic algebras Q_n are associated with pseudo-roots of non-commutative polynomials. We compute the Hilbert series of the algebras Q_n and of the dual algebras $Q_n^!$.

Introduction

Let $P(x) = x^n - a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^na_n$ be a polynomial over a ring R . Two classical problems concern the polynomial $P(x)$: investigation of the solutions of the equation $P(x) = 0$ and the decomposition of $P(x)$ into a product of irreducible polynomials.

In the commutative case relations between these two problems are well known: when R is a commutative division algebra, x is a central variable, and the equation $P(x) = 0$ has roots $x_1, \dots, x_n$, then

$$(0.1) \quad P(x) = (x - x_n) \dots (x - x_2)(x - x_1).$$

In noncommutative case relations between the two problems are highly non-trivial. They were investigated by Ore [O] and others. ([L] is a good source for references, see also the book [GLR] where matrix polynomials are considered.) More recently, some of the present authors have obtained results [GR3, GR4, W] which are important for the present work. For a division algebra R , I. Gelfand and V. Retakh [GR3, GR4] studied connections between the coefficients of $P(x)$ and a generic set of solutions $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of the equation $P(x) = 0$. They showed that for

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

any ordering $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ one can construct elements y_k , $k = 1, \dots, n$, depending on $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 &= y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n, \\
 (0.2) \quad a_2 &= \sum_{i < j} y_j y_i, \\
 &\vdots \\
 a_n &= y_n \dots y_2 y_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

These formulas are equivalent to the decomposition

$$(0.3) \quad P(t) = (t - y_n) \dots (t - y_2)(t - y_1)$$

where t is a central variable. Formula (0.3) can be viewed as a non-commutative analog of formula (0.1). A decomposition of $P(x)$ for a noncommutative variable x is more complicated (see [GR4]).

The element y_k , which is defined to be the conjugate of x_{i_k} by a Vandermonde quasideterminant involving $x_1, \dots, x_k$, is a rational function in $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}$; it is symmetric in $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k-1}}$. (Quasideterminants were introduced and studied in [GR1, GR2]. We do not need the explicit formula for y_k here.) It was shown in [W] that the polynomials in y_k for a fixed ordering I which are symmetric in x_l can be written as polynomials in the symmetric functions $a_1, \dots, a_n$ given by formulas (0.2). Thus these are the natural noncommutative symmetric functions.

It is convenient for our purposes to use the notation $y_k = x_{A_k, i_k}$ where $A_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}$ for $k = 2, \dots, n$, $A_1 = \emptyset$. In the generic case there are $n!$ decompositions of type (0.3). Such decompositions are given by products of linear polynomials $t - x_{A, i}$ where $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \notin A$. It is natural to call the elements $x_{A, i}$ *pseudo-roots* of the polynomial $P(x)$. Note that elements $x_{\emptyset, i} = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are roots of the polynomial $P(x)$.

In [GRW] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh and R. Wilson introduced the algebra Q_n of all pseudo-roots of a generic noncommutative polynomial. It is defined by generators $x_{A, i}$, $A \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \notin A$ and relations

$$(04a) \quad x_{A \cup i, j} + x_{A, i} - x_{A \cup j, i} - x_{A, j},$$

2

$$(0.4b) \quad x_{A \cup i, j} \cdot x_{A, i} - x_{A \cup j, i} \cdot x_{A, j}, \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A.$$

In [GRW] a natural homomorphism e of Q_n into the free skew field $\mathcal{C}$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$ was constructed. We believe that the map e is an embedding.

We consider the algebra Q_n as a universal algebra of pseudo-roots of a noncommutative polynomial of degree n . Our philosophy is the following: the algebraic operations of addition, subtraction and multiplication are cheap, but the operation of division is expensive. For our problem we cannot use the “cheap” free associative algebra generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$, but to use the gigantic free skew field $\mathcal{C}$ is too expensive. So, we suggest to use an “affordable intermediate” algebra Q_n .

Relations (0.4) show (see [GRW]) that we may define a linearly independent set of generators

$$r_A = x_{A \setminus \{a_1\}, a_1} + x_{A \setminus \{a_1, a_2\}, a_2} + \dots + x_{\emptyset, a_k}$$

for all nonempty $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. These generators satisfy the quadratic relations

$$\begin{aligned} & \{r(A)(r(A \setminus \{i\}) - r(A \setminus \{j\})) + (r(A \setminus \{i\}) - r(A \setminus \{j\}))r(A \setminus \{i, j\}) \\ & - r(A \setminus \{i\})^2 + r(A \setminus \{j\})^2 | i, j \in A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Another linearly independent set of generators in Q_n , $\{u_A | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, supersymmetric to $\{r_A | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, was used in [GGR] for a construction of noncommutative algebras related to simplicial complexes.

As a quadratic algebra Q_n has a dual quadratic algebra $Q_n^!$, see [U]. A study of this algebra is of an independent interest. In Section 5 we describe generators and relations for the algebra $Q_n^!$.

In this paper we compute the Hilbert series of the quadratic algebras Q_n and $Q_n^!$. Recall that if $W = \sum_{i \geq 0} W_i$ is a graded vector space with $\dim W_i$ finite for all i then the Hilbert series of W is defined by

$$H(W, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\dim W_i) t^i.$$

Any quadratic algebra A has a natural graded structure $A = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i$ where A_i is the span of all products of i generators. If A is finitely

generated then the subspaces A_i are finite-dimensional and the Hilbert series $H(A, t)$ of A is defined. Note that the Hilbert series $H(A^!, t)$ is also defined for the dual algebra $A^!$.

Recall that if A and $A^!$ are Koszul algebras then $H(A, t)H(A^!, -t) = 1$ (see [U]). The converse is not true but the counter-examples are rather superficial (see [P, R]).

The following two theorems, which are the main results of this paper, show that the quadratic algebras Q_n satisfy this necessary condition for the Koszulity of Q_n .

Theorem 1.

$$H(Q_n, t) = \frac{1 - t}{1 - t(2 - t)^n}$$

Theorem 2.

$$H(Q_n^!, t) = \frac{1 + t(2 + t)^n}{1 + t}$$

In the course of proving Theorem 1 we develop results (cf. Lemma 4.4) which describe the structure of Q_n in terms of Q_{n-1} . These results appear to be of independent interest. We use these results to compute (Corollary 4.9) the Hilbert series of Q_n in terms of the Hilbert series of Q_{n-1} . While proving Theorem 2 we determine (Proposition 6.4) a basis for the dual algebra $Q_n^!$.

We begin, in Section 1, by recalling, from [GRW], the construction of Q_n (as a quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V)$ for an appropriate vector space V) and developing notation for certain important elements of $T(V)$. We also note that Q_n has a natural filtration. In Section 2 we study the associated graded algebra $gr Q_n$, obtaining a presentation for $gr Q_n$. In view of the basis theorem for Q_n (in [GRW]) it is easy to determine a basis for $gr Q_n$. We next, in Section 3, define certain important subalgebras of Q_n which we denote $Q_n(1)$ and $Q_n(\hat{1})$. We show that the structures of these algebras are closely related to the structure of Q_{n-1} . In Section 4 we use these facts to prove Theorem 1 by induction on n . We then begin the study of the dual algebra $Q_n^!$, recalling generalities about the algebra and finding the space of defining relations in Section 5 and constructing a basis for $Q_n^!$ in Section 6. The proof of Theorem 2, contained in Section 7, is then straightforward.

1. GENERALITIES ABOUT Q_n

The quadratic algebra Q_n is defined in [GRW]. Here we recall one presentation of Q_n and develop some notation. Let V denote the vector space over a field F with basis $\{v(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and $T(V)$ denote the tensor algebra on V . The symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ acts on V by $\sigma(v(A)) = v(\sigma(A))$ and hence also acts on $T(V)$.

Note that

$$T(V) = \sum_{i \geq 0} T(V)_i$$

where

$$T(V)_i = \text{span}\{v(A_1) \dots v(A_i) \mid \emptyset \neq A_1, \dots, A_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

is a graded algebra. Each $T(V)_i$ is finite-dimensional.

Also, defining

$$T(V)_{(j)} = \text{span}\{v(A_1) \dots v(A_i) \mid i \geq 0, |A_1| + \dots + |A_i| \leq j\}$$

gives an increasing filtration

$$F.1 = T(V)_{(0)} \subset T(V)_{(1)} \subset \dots$$

of $T(V)$.

Note that

$$T(V)_{(j)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} T(V)_i \cap T(V)_{(j)}.$$

Let $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and write $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ where $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_k$. Let $Sym(B)$ denote the group of all permutations of B . When convenient we will write $A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_k$ in place of $A \setminus \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$. Define $\mathcal{V}(A : B)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in Sym(B)} sgn(\sigma) \sigma\{v(A)v(A \setminus b_1)v(A \setminus b_1 \setminus b_2) \dots v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1}) \\ & + \sum_{u=1}^{k-1} (-1)^u \{v(A \setminus b_1) \dots v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{u-1})v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_u)^2 v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{u+1}) \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1})\} \\ + (-1)^k v(A \setminus b_1) \dots v(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_k)\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Q} = \text{span}\{\mathcal{V}(A : B) \mid B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |B| = 2\}$ and let $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ denote the ideal in $T(V)$ generated by $\mathcal{Q}$. Denote the quotient $T(V)/\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ by Q_n . Since $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq T(V)_2$, Q_n is a quadratic algebra. Q_n is, of course, graded;

$$Q_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q_{n,i},$$

where

$$Q_{n,i} = (T(V)_i + \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle.$$

Defining

$$Q_{n,(j)} = (T(V)_{(j)} + \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$$

gives an increasing filtration

$$F.1 = Q_{n,(0)} \subset Q_{n,(1)} \subset \dots$$

of Q_n . Note that

$$Q_{n,(j)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q_{n,i} \cap Q_{n,(j)}.$$

Let $r(A)$ denote $v(A) + \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}(A : B)$ denote $\mathcal{V}(A : B) + \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$.

Note that if $|B| = 2$ then $\mathcal{R}(A : B) = 0$ (in Q_n).

2. THE ASSOCIATED GRADED ALGEBRA $gr Q_n$

Let $\mathcal{X} = \text{span}\{v(A)(v(A \setminus i) - v(A \setminus j)) \mid i, j \in A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$

$$X_n = (T(V) + \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle.$$

Let $x(A)$ denote $v(A) + \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$.

Note that X_n is graded

$$X_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} X_{n,i},$$

where

$$X_{n,i} = (T(V)_i + \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$$

and has an increasing filtration

$$F.1 = X_{n,(0)} \subset X_{n,(1)} \subset \dots$$

where

$$X_{n,(j)} = (T(V)_{(j)} + \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle.$$

A *string* is a finite sequence $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$ of nonempty subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We call $l = l(\mathcal{B})$ the *length* of $\mathcal{B}$ and $|\mathcal{B}| = \sum_{i=1}^l |B_i|$ the *degree* of $\mathcal{B}$. Let S denote the set of all strings. If $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_m) \in S$ define $\mathcal{BC} = (B_1, \dots, B_l, C_1, \dots, C_m)$ and $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(B_1) \dots x(B_l)$. For any set $W \subseteq S$ of strings we will denote $\{x(\mathcal{B}) \mid \mathcal{B} \in W\}$ by $x(W)$. Note that S contains the empty string $\emptyset$. Let $S^\circ = S \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. For any subset $U \subseteq S$ let $U^\circ = U \cap S^\circ$.

We recall from [GRW], the definition of $Y \subseteq S$. Let $\emptyset \neq A = \{a_1, \dots, a_l\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_l$ and $j \leq |A|$. Then we write $(A : j) = (A, A \setminus a_1) \dots (A \setminus a_1 \setminus \dots \setminus a_{j-1})$, a string of length j .

Consider the following condition on a string $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s) \in S$:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq s \text{ and } A_i \subseteq A_{i-1}, \text{ then } |A_i| \neq |A_{i-1}| - j_{i-1}.$$

Let $Y = \{(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s) \in S \mid (2.1) \text{ is satisfied}\}$. It is proved in [GRW] that $r(Y)$ is a basis for Q_n .

Suppose $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$ is a string. Recall, from [GRW], that we may define by induction a sequence of integers $n(\mathcal{B}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$, $1 = n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_t = l + 1$, as follows:

$$n_1 = 1,$$

$$n_{k+1} = \min(\{j > n_k \mid B_j \not\subseteq B_{n_k} \text{ or } |B_j| \neq |B_{n_k}| + n_k - j\} \cup \{l + 1\}),$$

and t is the smallest i such that $n_i = l + 1$.

We call $n(\mathcal{B})$ the *skeleton* of $\mathcal{B}$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$ be a string with skeleton $(n_1 = 1, n_2, \dots, n_t = l + 1)$.

Define $\mathcal{B}^\vee$ to be the string $(B_{n_1}, n_2 - n_1)(B_{n_2}, n_3 - n_2) \dots (B_{n_{t-1}}, n_t - n_{t-1})$.

Note that $l(\mathcal{B}^\vee) = l(\mathcal{B})$ and $|\mathcal{B}^\vee| = |\mathcal{B}|$.

Proposition 2.1. $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(\mathcal{B}^\vee)$.

Proof: If $t = 1$ then $l = 0$ so $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^\vee$ is the empty string and $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(\mathcal{B}^\vee) = 1$. Assume $t = 2$, so $\mathcal{B}^\vee = (B_1, l)$. We will proceed

by induction on l . If $l = 1$ then $\mathcal{B} = (B_1) = \mathcal{B}^\vee$ so there is nothing to prove. If $l = 2$, then $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, B_1 \setminus i)$ for some i and $\mathcal{B}^\vee = (B_1, B_1 \setminus j)$ for some j . Since $x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus i) = x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus j)$ by the defining relations, the result holds in this case.

Now assume $l > 2$ and that the result holds for all $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_k)$ with skeleton $(1, k+1)$ and $k < l$. We have $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_{l-1})(B_l)$ so $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(B_1, \dots, B_{l-1})x(B_l)$. Since the skeleton of $(B_1, \dots, B_{l-1})$ is $(1, l)$ the induction assumption applies and shows that $x(B_1, \dots, B_{l-1}) = x(B_1, l-1)$. Let b denote the largest element of B_1 . Then since $(B_1, l-1) = (B_1)(B_1 \setminus b, l-2)$ we have $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(B_1, \dots, B_{l-1})x(B_l) = x(B_1, l-1)x(B_l) = x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus b, l-2)x(B_l)$. If $b \notin B_l$ the induction assumption shows that this is $x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus b, l-1) = x(B_1, l)$ as required. So we may assume $b \in B_l$ and then, since $|B_l| < |B_1|$, we may find $c \in B_1$, $c \neq b$, $c \notin B_l$. Then by the induction assumption $x(B_1, l-1)x(B_l) = x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus c, l-2)x(B_l)$ and, again by the induction assumption, this is equal to $x(B_1)x(B_1 \setminus c, l-1)$.

Write $(B_1)(B_1 \setminus c, l-1) = (B_1, C_2, \dots, C_l)$ and note that, as $l > 2$, the largest element of B_1 is not in C_l . Then by the previous case $x(B_1, C_2, \dots, C_l) = x((B_1, C_2, \dots, C_l)^\vee)$. But $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(B_1, C_2, \dots, C_l)$ and $(B_1, C_2, \dots, C_l)^\vee = (B_1, l)$ proving the result in case $t = 2$.

Finally, suppose $t > 2$ and suppose $n(\mathcal{B}) = (n_1, \dots, n_t)$. We proceed by induction on t . Let $\mathcal{B}' = (B_1, \dots, B_{n_2-1})$ and $\mathcal{B}'' = (B_{n_2}, \dots, B_l)$. Note that $n(\mathcal{B}') = (1, n_2)$ and $n(\mathcal{B}'') = (n_2, \dots, n_t)$, and so, by induction, $x(\mathcal{B}') = x(\mathcal{B}'^\vee)$ and $x(\mathcal{B}'') = x(\mathcal{B}''^\vee)$. Then $x(\mathcal{B}) = x(\mathcal{B}')x(\mathcal{B}'') = x(\mathcal{B}'^\vee)x(\mathcal{B}''^\vee) = x(\mathcal{B}^\vee)$, proving the proposition.

Let $gr Q_n$ denote the associated graded algebra of Q_n . For any string $\mathcal{B}$ let $\bar{r}(\mathcal{B})$ denote the element $r(\mathcal{B}) + Q_{n, |\mathcal{B}|-1}$ of $gr Q_n$.

For any set S of strings write $\bar{r}(S) = \{\bar{r}(\mathcal{B}) \mid \mathcal{B} \in S\}$.

Lemma 2.2. $\bar{r}(Y)$ is a basis for $gr Q_n$.

Proof: This follows from the fact that $r(Y) \cap Q_{n,i}$ is a basis for $Q_{n,i}$ (Theorem 1.3.8 and Proposition 1.4.1 of [GRW]).

Corollary 2.3. The linear map $\phi : X_n \rightarrow gr Q_n$ defined by $\phi(x(\mathcal{B})) = \bar{r}(\mathcal{B})$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

Proof: Since Q_n is generated by $\{r(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$, $gr Q_n$ is generated by $\{\bar{r}(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Since $0 = \mathcal{R}(A : \{i, j\}) = r(A)(r(A \setminus i) - r(A \setminus j)) + (r(A \setminus i) - r(A \setminus j))r(A \setminus i \setminus j) - r(A \setminus i)^2 + r(A \setminus j)^2$

we have

$$r(A)(r(A \setminus i) - r(A \setminus j)) \in Q_{n,2|A|-2}$$

and so $\bar{r}(A)(\bar{r}(A \setminus i) - \bar{r}(A \setminus j)) = 0$ in $gr Q_n$. Consequently there is a homomorphism from X_n into $gr Q_n$ that takes $x(A)$ into $\bar{r}(A)$. Since the generating set $\{\bar{r}(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ is contained in the image of this map, the map is onto. Note that $Y = \{\mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^\vee\}$. Thus by Proposition 2.1, X_n is spanned by $x(Y)$. Since the image of this set is the linearly independent set $\bar{r}(Y)$, the map is injective.

3. THE SUBALGEBRAS $Q_n(1)$ AND $Q_n(\hat{1})$

Let $Q_n(\hat{1})$ denote the subalgebra of Q_n generated by $\{r(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}\}$. Let $S(1) = \{\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l) \in S \mid 1 \in B_i \text{ for all } i\}$, $S(1)^\dagger = \{\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l) \in S(1) \mid |B_i| > 1 \text{ for all } i\}$ and $S(\hat{1}) = \{\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l) \in S \mid B_1, \dots, B_l \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}\}$. Let $Y(1) = Y \cap S(1)$, $Y(1)^\dagger = Y \cap S(1)^\dagger$, and $Y(\hat{1}) = Y \cap S(\hat{1})$.

Let $Y_{(n-1)}$ denote $\{(B_1, \dots, B_l) \in Y(1) \mid B_1, \dots, B_l \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}$.

Lemma 3.1. Q_{n-1} is isomorphic to $Q_n(\hat{1})$.

Proof: For any subset $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, let $A+1$ denote $\{a+1 \mid a \in A\}$, a subset of $\{2, \dots, n\}$. Clearly there is a homomorphism from Q_{n-1} into $Q_n(\hat{1})$ that takes $r(A)$ into $r(A+1)$. This map is injective since the “ $r(Y)$ -basis” for Q_{n-1} maps into a subset of $r(Y) \subseteq Q_n$. Since the generators for $Q_n(\hat{1})$ are contained in the image of this map, it is onto.

Corollary 3.2. $Y(\hat{1})$ is a basis for $Q_n(\hat{1})$.

Let $Q_n(1)$ denote the subalgebra of Q_n generated by $\{r(A) \mid 1 \in A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$.

Lemma 3.3. The map from $gr Q_n(\hat{1})$ into $gr Q_n(1)$ that takes $\bar{r}(A)$ into $\bar{r}(A \cup \{1\})$ is an injective homomorphism and $\bar{r}(Y(1)^\dagger)$ is a basis for the image.

Proof: $gr Q_n(\hat{1})$ has generators $\{\bar{r}(A) \mid \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}\}$ and relations $\{\bar{r}(A)(\bar{r}(A \setminus i) - \bar{r}(A \setminus j)) \mid i, j \in A \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}\}$. Since $\bar{r}(A \cup \{1\})(\bar{r}(A \setminus i \cup \{1\}) - \bar{r}(A \setminus j \cup \{1\})) = 0$ in $gr Q_n(1)$ the required homomorphism exists. Since the homomorphism maps $\bar{r}(Y(\hat{1}))$ injectively to $\bar{r}(Y(1)^\dagger)$, a subset of $\bar{r}(Y)$, the homomorphism is injective and $\bar{r}(Y(1)^\dagger)$ is a basis for the image.

Lemma 3.4.

- a) $\bar{r}(Y(1))$ is a basis for $gr Q_n(1)$.
- b) $r(Y(1))$ is a basis for $Q_n(1)$.

Proof: a) Since $\bar{r}(Y(1)) \subseteq \bar{r}(Y)$ it is linearly independent. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\bar{r}(Y(1))$ spans $gr Q_n(1)$. But $gr Q_n(1)$ is spanned by the elements $\bar{r}(\mathcal{B})$ where $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$, $1 \in B_1, \dots, B_l$. By Proposition 2.1 $\bar{r}(\mathcal{B}) = \bar{r}(\mathcal{B}^\vee)$ where $(n_1, \dots, n_t)$ is the skeleton of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^\vee = (B_{n_1}, n_2 - n_1)(B_{n_2}, n_3 - n_2) \dots (B_{n_{t-1}}, n_t - n_{t-1})$. Since $1 \in B_j$ for each j , $\mathcal{B}^\vee \in Y(1)$ giving the result.

Part b) is immediate from a).

If A and B are algebras, let $A * B$ denote the free product of A and B (cf. [B], Chap. 3, §5, Ex. 6). Thus there exist homomorphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow A * B$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow A * B$ such that if G is any associative algebra and $\mu : A \rightarrow G, \nu : B \rightarrow G$ are homomorphisms then there exists a unique homomorphism $\lambda : A * B \rightarrow G$ such that $\lambda\alpha = \mu$ and $\lambda\beta = \nu$. Furthermore, if A and B have identity element 1, $\{1\} \cup \Gamma_A$ is a basis for A and $\{1\} \cup \Gamma_B$ is a basis for B then $A * B$ has a basis consisting of 1 and all products $g_1, \dots, g_n$ or $g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}$ where $n \geq 1$ and $g_t \in \alpha(\Gamma_A)$ if t is even and $g_t \in \beta(\Gamma_B)$ if t is odd.

Lemma 3.5. $gr Q_n(1)$ is isomorphic to $gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$.

Proof: Let $\alpha : gr Q_{n-1} \rightarrow gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$ and $\beta : F[\bar{r}(1)] \rightarrow gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$ be the homomorphisms occurring in the definition of $gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$.

If $\emptyset \neq A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ define

$$\delta(A) = \{1, 1 + a_1, \dots, 1 + a_k\}.$$

Then define a map $\mu : \{\bar{r}(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}\} \rightarrow gr Q_n(1)$ by

$$\mu(\bar{r}(A)) = \bar{r}(\delta(A)).$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, μ extends to a linear map

$$\mu : gr Q_{n-1} \rightarrow gr Q_n(1).$$

By Corollary 2.3, μ preserves the defining relations for $gr Q_{n-1}$ and so is a homomorphism. Lemma 3.4 implies that μ is injective. Note that

$\bar{r}(1) \in gr Q_n(1)$ generates a subalgebra isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $F[\bar{r}(1)]$. Thus there is an injection

$$\nu : F[\bar{r}(1)] \rightarrow gr Q_n(1).$$

Consequently there is a homomorphism

$$\lambda : gr Q_{n-1} * \Gamma[\bar{r}(1)] \rightarrow gr Q_n(1)$$

such that $\lambda\alpha = \mu$ and $\lambda\beta = \nu$. We claim that λ is an isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ denote the set of all strings $\mathcal{G}_1 \dots \mathcal{G}_n$ or $\mathcal{G}_2 \dots \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ where $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{B}_i \in Y(1)^\dagger$ if i is odd and $\mathcal{G}_i = \{1\}^{j_i}$ if i is even. Note that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq S(1)$. Define

$$\Phi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow Y(1)$$

by $\Phi(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B}^\vee$. Define $\Psi : Y(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by $\Psi((A, j)) = (A, j)$ if $j < |A|$, $\Psi((A, j)) = (A, j-1)\{1\}$ if $j = |A|$, and $\Psi((A_1, j_1) \dots (A_s, j_s)) = \Psi((A_1, j_1)) \dots \Psi((A_s, j_s))$ if $(A_1, j_1) \dots (A_s, j_s)$ satisfies (2.1). Then Φ and Ψ are inverse mappings.

Let $\gamma_i = \alpha$ if i is odd and $\gamma_i = \beta$ if i is even. Then $gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$ has basis consisting of 1 and all products $\gamma_1 \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_1) \dots \gamma_n \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ or $\gamma_2 \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_2) \dots \gamma_n \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_{n+1})$ where $\mathcal{H}_i \in Y_{(n-1)}$ if i is odd and $\mathcal{H}_i = \{1\}^{j_i}$ if i is even. Then $\lambda(\gamma_1 \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_1) \dots \gamma_n \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_n)) = \bar{r}(\delta(\mathcal{H}_1)) \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_2) \dots = \bar{r}(\delta(\mathcal{H}_1) \mathcal{H}_2 \dots) = \bar{r}((\delta(\mathcal{H}_1) \mathcal{H}_2 \dots)^\vee)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{H}_1) \mathcal{H}_2 \dots \in \mathcal{T}$. Also, $\lambda(\gamma_2 \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_2) \dots \gamma_{n+1} \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_{n+1})) = \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_2 \delta(\mathcal{H}_3) \dots) = \bar{r}(\mathcal{H}_2 \delta(\mathcal{H}_3) \dots)^\vee$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \delta(\mathcal{H}_3) \dots \in \mathcal{T}$. Every element of $\mathcal{T}$ arises in this way. Since $\Phi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow Y(1)$ is a bijection, we see that λ maps a basis of $gr Q_{n-1} * F[\bar{r}(1)]$ bijectively onto the basis $\bar{r}(Y(1))$ of $gr Q_n(1)$, proving the lemma.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\theta : S \times S \rightarrow S$ be defined by

$$\theta((B_1, \dots, B_l), (C_1, \dots, C_k)) = (B_1, \dots, B_l, C_1, \dots, C_k).$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$, $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_k) \in Y$, $1 \notin B_l$ and $1 \in C_1$, then $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} \in Y$.*

Proof: Since $\mathcal{B} \in Y$ we may write $\mathcal{B}(A_1, j_1) \dots (A_s, j_s)$ where (2.1) is satisfied. Since $1 \notin B_l$ we have $1 \notin A_s$. Similarly since $\mathcal{C} \in Y$ we may

write $\mathcal{C} = (D_1, m_1) \dots (D_t, m_t)$ where condition (2.1) holds. Since $1 \in C_1$ we have $1 \in D_1$. Then $\mathcal{BC} = (A_1, j_1) \dots (A_s, j_s)(D_1, m_1) \dots (D_t, m_t)$. Since (2.1) holds for $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, and since $D_1 \not\subseteq A_s$ (for $1 \in D_1, 1 \notin A_s$), (2.1) is satisfied for $\mathcal{BC}$ and so $\mathcal{BC} \in Y$.

Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l) \in S$. Define $A(\mathcal{B}) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l-1, 1 \in B_i, 1 \notin B_{i+1}\}$ and $a(\mathcal{B}) = |A(\mathcal{B})|$. Set $S_{\{i\}} = \{\mathcal{B} \in S \mid a(\mathcal{B}) = i\}$. Then S is equal to the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} S_{\{i\}}$.

Lemma 4.2. $(SS(1)^\circ \cap S_{\{0\}}) \times (S(\hat{1})^\circ S \cap S_{\{i\}})$ injects into $S_{\{i+1\}}$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_l) \in S_{\{i+1\}}$. There are $l+1$ pairs in $S \times S$ which θ maps to $\mathcal{B}$, namely $(B_1, \dots, B_j) \times (B_{j+1}, \dots, B_l)$ for $0 \leq j \leq l$. Now $(B_1, \dots, B_j) \in S_{\{0\}}$ implies $j \leq \min A(\mathcal{B})$ while $(B_1, \dots, B_j) \in SS(1)^\circ$ and $(B_{j+1}, \dots, B_l) \in S_{\{i\}}$ implies $j \in A(\mathcal{B})$ and the lemma follows.

Let $L(1) = S(1)^\circ \times S(\hat{1})^\circ$, and $L(i+1) = L(1) \times L(i)$, $i \geq 1$.

Corollary 4.3. $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} S(\hat{1}) \times L(i) \times S(1)$ injects into S .

Proof: The i^{th} term in the union maps into $S_{\{i\}}$, so it is enough to prove that this is an injection. Write this term as $(S(\hat{1}) \times S(1)^\circ) \times (S(\hat{1})^\circ \times L(i-1) \times S(1))$ and observe that the result follows by the lemma and by induction on i .

Let M denote the span of $Y(1)^\circ Y(\hat{1})^\circ \cap Y$ and let N denote the subalgebra of Q_n generated by M .

Lemma 4.4. N is isomorphic to the free algebra generated by M and the map

$$Q_n(\hat{1}) \otimes N \otimes Q_n(1) \rightarrow Q_n$$

induced by multiplication is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.

Proof: Let $W = Y(1)^\circ Y(\hat{1})^\circ \cap Y$ and let $W^i = W \times \dots \times W$ (i times). Then W , being linearly independent, is a basis for M . By Lemma 4.2, $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} W^i$ injects into S . Indeed, Lemma 4.1 shows that the image is in Y . Thus $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} W^i$ injects onto a basis for N , so N is isomorphic to the free algebra generated by M . Again by Lemma 4.1 we have that $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} Y(\hat{1}) \times W^i \times Y(1)$ maps into Y . Since any substring of an element of Y is again in Y , this map is onto. By Corollary 4.3 the map is an injection. This proves the final statement of the lemma.

We now recall some well-known facts about Hilbert series (cf. [U], §3.3).

Lemma 4.5. (a) *If W_1 and W_2 are graded vector spaces then*

$$H(W_1 \otimes W_2, t) = H(W_1, t)H(W_2, t).$$

(b) *If W is a graded vector space, then*

$$H(T(W), t) = \frac{1}{1 - H(W, t)}.$$

(c) *If $A = \sum_{i \geq 0} A_i$ and $B = \sum_{i \geq 0} B_i$ are graded algebras with $A_0 = B_0 = F.1$, then*

$$\frac{1}{H(A * B, t)} = \frac{1}{H(A, t)} + \frac{1}{H(B, t)} - 1.$$

Let $U(A : j)$ denote the span of all strings $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s)$ satisfying (2.1) such that $1 \in A_i$ for all i and $(A_s : j_s) = (A, j)$.

Lemma 4.6.

$$H(U(A : j), t) = t^j (1 - t)^{n - |A|} H(Q_n(1), t).$$

Proof: Since whenever the string $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_{s-1} : j_{s-1})$ satisfies (2.1) then the string $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_{s-1} : j_{s-1})(\{1, \dots, n\}, j)$ also satisfies (2.1), we have

$$H(U(\{1, \dots, n\}, j), t) = t^j H(Q_n(1), t).$$

We now proceed by downward induction on $|A|$, assuming the result is true whenever $|A| > l$. Let $|A| = l$. Then

$$H(U(A : j), t) = t^j H(Q_n(1), t) - t^j \sum_{C \supseteq A, |C|=|A|+m, m \geq 1} H(U(C : m), t).$$

By the induction assumption this is

$$t^j (1 - \sum_{C \supseteq A, |C|=|A|+m, m \geq 1} t^m (1 - t)^{n - |C|}) H(Q_n(1), t).$$

Let $C = D \cup A$ where $D \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A$. Then the expression becomes

$$t^j(1 - \sum_{\emptyset \neq D \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A} t^{|D|}(1 - t)^{n-|A|-|D|})H(Q_n(1), t).$$

By the binomial theorem the quantity in parenthesis is $(1 - t)^{n-|A|}$, proving the result.

Let $B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ and let $Z(B)$ denote the span of all strings $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s)$ such that $1 \in A_1, \dots, A_s$, $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s)$ satisfies (2.1), $|B| = |A_s| - j_s$, $A_s \supseteq B$.

Lemma 4.7.

$$H(Z(B), t) = tH(Q_n(1), t).$$

Proof: Write $A_s = B \cup E \cup \{1\}$ where $B \cap E = \emptyset$ and $E \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$Z(B) = \sum_{E \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus B} U(B \cup E \cup \{1\}, |E| + 1)$$

and so

$$H(Z(B), t) = \sum_{E \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\} \setminus B} t^{|E|+1}(1 - t)^{n-|B|-|E|-1}H(Q_n(1), t).$$

By the binomial theorem this is $tH(Q_n(1), t)$.

Lemma 4.8.

$$\begin{aligned} H(M, t) &= (H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) - 1)(H(Q_n(1), t) - 1) \\ &\quad - tH(Q_n(1), t)(H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: M is the span of $Y(1)^\circ Y(\hat{1})^\circ \cap Y$. The complement $\mathcal{Z}$ of $Y(1)^\circ Y(\hat{1})^\circ \cap Y$ in $Y(1)^\circ Y(\hat{1})^\circ$ is the set of all strings $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s)(B_1, \dots, B_l)$ such that $(A_1 : j_1) \dots (A_s : j_s) \in Y(1)^\circ$ satisfies (2.1), $|B_1| = |A_s| - j_s$, $A_s \supseteq B_1$, $(B_1, \dots, B_l) \in Y(\hat{1})$. Let Z denote the span of $\mathcal{Z}$. The lemma follows from showing that

$$H(Z, t) = tH(Q_n(1), t)(H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) - 1).$$

For $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}$ let $P(B)$ denote the span of all strings in $\mathcal{Z}$ such that $B_1 = B$ and $P_0(B)$ denote the span of all strings $(B_1, \dots, B_l) \in Y(\hat{1})$ such that $B_1 = B$. Then $Z = \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}} P(B)$ and $H(P(B), t) = H(Z(B), t)H(P_0(B), t)$. By Lemma 4.7, this is equal to $tH(Q_n(1), t)H(P_0(B), t)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H(Z, t) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}} H(P(B), t) \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}} tH(Q_n(1), t)H(P_0(B), t) \\ &= tH(Q_n(1), t) \sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}} H(P_0(B), t). \end{aligned}$$

But $\sum_{\emptyset \neq B \subseteq \{2, \dots, n\}} H(P_0(B), t) = H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) - 1$ and the lemma is proved.

Corollary 4.9.

$$\frac{1}{H(Q_n, t)} = (2 - t) \left(\frac{1}{H(Q_{n-1}, t)} \right) - 1.$$

Proof: $H(Q_n, t) = H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t)H(N, t)H(Q_n(1), t)$ by Lemma 4.4. For brevity we write $H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) = a$ and $H(Q_n(1), t) = b$. Then

$$H(N, t) = \frac{1}{1 - H(M, t)} = \frac{1}{(1 - t)b + a + (t - 1)ab}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{H(Q_n, t)} = \frac{(1 - t)b + a + (t - 1)ab}{ab} = \frac{1 - t}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + t - 1.$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{a} - t$$

this gives

$$\frac{1}{H(Q_n, t)} = \frac{1 - t}{a} + \frac{1}{a} - 1 = \frac{2 - t}{a} - 1.$$

Now $a = H(Q_n(\hat{1}), t) = H(Q_{n-1}, t)$ so the corollary follows.

Theorem 1 now follows from Corollary 4.9 and the fact that $Q_0 = F$.

5. GENERALITIES ABOUT THE DUAL ALGEBRA $Q_n^!$

Let V^* denote the dual space of V . Thus V^* has basis

$$\{v^*(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$$

where

$$\langle v(A), v^*(B) \rangle = \delta_{A,B}.$$

Note that $T(V^*) = \sum_{i \geq 0} T(V^*)_i$ is a graded algebra where $T(V^*)_i = \text{span} \{v^*(A_1) \dots v^*(A_i) | \emptyset \neq A_1, \dots, A_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. Also, $T(V^*)$ has a decreasing filtration

$$T(V^*) = T(V^*)_{(0)} \supset T(V^*)_{(1)} \supset \dots \supset T(V^*)_{(j)} \supset \dots$$

where

$$T(V^*)_{(j)} = \text{span}\{v^*(A_1) \dots v^*(A_i) | |A_1| + \dots + |A_i| \geq j\}.$$

In fact

$$T(V^*)_{(j+1)} = (T(V^*)_{(j)})^\perp$$

for $j \geq 0$.

Define $Q_n^! = T(V^*) / \langle Q^\perp \rangle$.

We may explicitly describe $Q^\perp$ and thus give a presentation of $Q_n^!$. To do this define the following subsets of $T(V^*)_2$:

$$S_1 = \{v^*(A)v^*(B) | B \not\subseteq A \text{ or } |B| \neq |A|, |A| - 1\},$$

$$S_2 = \{v^*(C) \left(\sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) \right) + v^*(C)^2 | |C| \geq 2\},$$

$$S_3 = \{ \left(\sum_{i \notin C} v^*(C \cup i) v^*(C) \right) + v^*(C)^2 | C \neq \{1, \dots, n\} \},$$

$$S_4 = \{s(\{1, \dots, n\})^2\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$ spans $\mathcal{Q}^\perp$. Therefore, $Q_n^\dagger$ is presented by generators $\{v^*(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and relations $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4$.

Before beginning the proof of this theorem, we present some examples and develop some notation. Set

$$s(A) = (v^*(A) + \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle \in Q_n^\dagger.$$

Write $s(i)$ for $s(\{i\})$, $s(ij)$ for $s(\{i, j\})$, etc.

Example. (a) $Q_2^\dagger$ is 5-dimensional with basis

$$\{1, s(1), s(2), s(12), s(12)s(1)\}.$$

(b) $Q_3^\dagger$ is 14-dimensional with basis

$$\begin{aligned} &\{1, s(1), s(2), s(3), s(12), s(13), s(23), s(123), s(123)s(12), s(123)s(13), \\ &s(12)s(1), s(13)s(1), s(23)s(2), s(123)s(12)s(1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

These assertions follow from Proposition 6.4.

Note that $Q_n^\dagger = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q_{n,i}^\dagger$ is graded where

$$Q_{n,i}^\dagger = (T(V^*)_i + \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle$$

and that $Q_n^\dagger$ has a decreasing filtration

$$Q_n^\dagger = Q_{n,(0)}^\dagger \supseteq Q_{n,(1)}^\dagger \supseteq \dots \supseteq Q_{n,(j)}^\dagger \supseteq \dots$$

where

$$Q_{n,(j)}^\dagger = (T(V^*)_{(j)} + \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle) / \langle \mathcal{Q}^\perp \rangle.$$

Clearly

$$Q_{n,(j)}^\dagger = \sum_{i \geq 0} Q_{n,i}^\dagger \cap Q_{n,(j)}^\dagger$$

and

$$Q_{n,i}^\dagger \cap Q_{n,(j)}^\dagger = (0)$$

if $j > ni$.

Let $gr Q_n^! = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_{n,(j)}^! / Q_{n,(j+1)}^!$, the associated graded algebra of $Q_n^!$. Denote $s(A) + Q_{n,(|A|+1)}^! \in gr Q_n^!$ by $\bar{s}(A)$. Then $\{\bar{s}(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ generates $gr Q_n^!$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1: We first show that each $S_h, 1 \leq h \leq 4$ is contained in $\mathcal{Q}^\perp$, i.e., that $\langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), u_h \rangle = 0$ whenever $c < d, c, d \in A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $u_h \in S_h$. For $h = 1$ or 4 this is clear.

If $h = 2$, we note that

$$\langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), v^*(C) \left(\sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) \right) + v^*(C)^2 \rangle = 0$$

unless $A = C$, $A \setminus c = C$ or $A \setminus d = C$. In the first case,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), v^*(C) \left(\sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) \right) + v^*(C)^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v(A)v(A \setminus d) - v(A)v(A \setminus c), v^*(A) \left(\sum_{i \in A} v^*(A \setminus i) \right) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), v^*(C) \left(\sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) \right) + v^*(C)^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle -v(A \setminus c)v(A \setminus c \setminus d), v^*(A \setminus c) \left(\sum_{i \in A \setminus c} v^*(A \setminus c \setminus i) \right) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle v(A \setminus c)^2, v^*(A \setminus c)^2 \rangle = -1 + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the third case

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), v^*(C) \left(\sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) \right) + v^*(C)^2 \rangle \\ &= \langle v(A \setminus d)v(A \setminus c \setminus d), v^*(A \setminus d) \left(\sum_{i \in A \setminus d} v^*(A \setminus d \setminus i) \right) \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle -v(A \setminus d)^2, v^*(A \setminus d)^2 \rangle = 1 - 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $h = 3$, we note that

$$\langle \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), \left(\sum_{i \notin C} v^*(C \cup i)v^*(C) \right) + v^*(C)^2 \rangle = 0$$

unless $A \setminus c = C$, $A \setminus d = C$ or $A \setminus c \setminus d = C$. In the first case

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), (\sum_{i \notin C} v^*(C \cup i) v^*(C)) + v^*(C)^2 > \\
& = < -v(A) v(A \setminus c), \sum_{i \notin A \setminus c} v^*(A \cup i \setminus c) v^*(A \setminus c) > \\
& \quad + < v(A \setminus c)^2, v^*(A \setminus c)^2 > = -1 + 1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second case

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), (\sum_{i \notin C} v^*(C \cup i) v^*(C)) + v^*(C)^2 > \\
& = < v(A) v(A \setminus d), \sum_{i \notin A \setminus d} v^*(A \cup i \setminus d) v^*(A \setminus d) > \\
& \quad + < -v(A \setminus d)^2, v^*(A \setminus d)^2 > = 1 - 1 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the third case

$$\begin{aligned}
& < \mathcal{V}(A : \{c, d\}), (\sum_{i \notin C} v^*(C \cup i) v^*(C)) + v^*(C)^2 > \\
& = < v(A \setminus d) v(A \setminus c \setminus d) - v(A \setminus c) v(A \setminus c \setminus d), \\
& \quad \sum_{i \notin A \setminus c \setminus d} v^*(A \cup i \setminus c \setminus d) v^*(A \setminus c \setminus d) > = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We will now use downward induction on l to show that $(S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \cup S_4) \cap T(V^*)_{(l)}$ spans $\mathcal{Q}^\perp \cap T(V^*)_{(l)}$ for all $l \geq 0$. Note that, since $T(V^*) = T(V^*)_{(0)}$, this will complete the proof of the lemma. Now $\mathcal{Q}^\perp$ is contained in $T(V^*)_2$ and $T(V^*)_2 \cap T(V^*)_{(2n+1)} = (0)$, so the result holds for $l = 2n + 1$. Assume the result holds whenever $l > m$ and let $u \in \mathcal{Q}^\perp \cap T(V^*)_{(m)}$. Suppose that m is even. Then by subtracting an element in the span of S_1 we may assume that $u \in \sum_{|C|=m/2} a_C v^*(C)^2 + T(V^*)_{(m+1)}$ for some scalars a_C . Then by subtracting an element in the span of $S_3 \cup S_4$ we may assume that $u \in T(V^*)_{(m+1)}$. Hence the induction assumption gives our result in this case. Now suppose that m is odd. Then by subtracting an element in the span of S_1 we may

assume that $u \in \sum_{|C|=(m+1)/2, i \in C} b_{C,i} v^*(C) v^*(C \setminus i) + T(V^*)_{(m+1)}$ for some scalars $b_{C,i}$. Since $0 = \langle \mathcal{V}(C : \{c, d\}), u \rangle$ for all C with $|C| = (m+1)/2$ we see that $b_{C,c} = b_{C,d}$ for all $c, d \in C$. Then by subtracting an element in the span of S_2 we may assume that $u \in T(V^*)_{m+1}$. Hence the induction assumption gives our result in this case and the proof of the lemma is complete.

6. A BASIS FOR $Q_n^\perp$

Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $b_1 > \dots > b_k$. Define $\mathcal{S}(A : B) \in Q_n^\perp$ by

$$\mathcal{S}(A : B) = s(A) s(A \setminus b_1) \dots s(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_k).$$

Let $\min A$ denote the smallest element of A . Define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(A : B) | B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \min A \notin B\},$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}}(A : B) = \mathcal{S}(A : B) + Q_{n, (1+(|B|+1)(2|A|-|B|)/2)}^\perp,$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}} = \{\bar{\mathcal{S}}(A : B) | B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \min A \notin B\}.$$

Lemma 6.1. $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \cup \{\bar{\mathcal{S}}(\emptyset)\}$ spans $gr Q_n^\perp$ and $\mathcal{S} \cup \{s(\emptyset)\}$ spans $Q_n^\perp$.

Proof: It is sufficient to show the first assertion. We know that $\{\bar{s}(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ generates $gr Q_n^\perp$. Since the sets S_1, S_3 and S_4 are contained in $Q^\perp$ we see that $\bar{s}(A)\bar{s}(B) = 0$ unless $B \subset A$ and $|B| = |A| - 1$. Furthermore, since the set S_2 is contained in $Q^\perp$, we have $\bar{s}(A)(\sum_{i \in A} \bar{s}(A \setminus i)) = 0$ for all $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |A| \geq 2$. Then if $i, j \in A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{s}(A)\bar{s}(A \setminus i)\bar{s}(A \setminus i \setminus j) \\ &= -\bar{s}(A) \left(\sum_{l \in A, l \neq i} \bar{s}(A \setminus l) \right) \bar{s}(A \setminus i \setminus j) \\ &= -\bar{s}(A)\bar{s}(A \setminus j)\bar{s}(A \setminus i \setminus j). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is then immediate.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $b_1 > \dots > b_k$ and $k > 2$. Then, for $0 \leq m \leq k-2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &< \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(B)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \{r(A \setminus b_1) \dots r(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1})\}, \\ &V^{*m} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2} >= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: If $k = 3$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(B)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \{r(A \setminus b_1) \dots r(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1})\} \\ &= \mathcal{V}(A : \{b_1, b_2\}) + \mathcal{V}(A : \{b_2, b_3\}) + \mathcal{V}(A : \{b_3, b_1\}) \in \mathcal{Q}, \end{aligned}$$

so the result holds. Now assume that $k > 3$ and that the result holds for $k-1$. Then it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &< \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(B)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \{r(A \setminus b_1) \dots r(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1})\}, \\ &v^*(A \setminus b_1) V^{*m-1} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2} >= 0 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $m > 0$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} &< \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(B)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma \{r(A \setminus b_1) \dots r(A \setminus b_1 \dots \setminus b_{k-1})\}, \\ &\mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-3} v^*(A \setminus b_1 \setminus \dots \setminus b_{k-1}) >= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Both of these are immediate from the induction assumption.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|B| = k \geq 2$. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}(A : B) \in \cap_{m=0}^{k-2} V^m R V^{k-m-2}.$$

Proof: It is enough to show that $\langle \mathcal{V}(A : B), V^{*m} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2} \rangle = 0$ for all $m, 0 \leq m \leq k-2$. This is immediate if $k = 2$, since $R = \text{span } \mathcal{Q}$. We will proceed by induction on k . Thus we assume $k > 2$ and that the assertion is true for $k-1$. Now if $m > 0$ then $V^{*m} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2} =$

$\sum_{C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} v^*(C) V^{*m-1} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2}$. Now $v^*(C) V^{*m-1} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}(A : B)$ unless $A \subseteq C \subseteq A \setminus B$ and $|C| = |A|$ or $|A| - 1$. But if $|C| = |A|$ then $v^*(C) V^{*m-1} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-m-2}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}(A : B)$ by Lemma 6.2 and if $|C| = |A| - 1$, the induction assumption yields the same result. Thus, the assertion holds if $m > 0$. For $m = 0$ we must consider $\mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-2} = \sum_{C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-3} v^*(C)$. Now, $\mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-3} v^*(C)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}(A : B)$ unless $A \subseteq C \subseteq A \setminus B$ and $|C| = |A| - |B|$ or $|A| - |B| + 1$. If $|C| = |A| - |B|$ then $\mathcal{Q}^\perp V^{*k-3} v^*(C)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{V}(A : B)$ by Lemma 6.2 and if $|C| = |A| - |B| + 1$ the induction assumption yields the same result. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the span of $\{\mathcal{V}(A : B) | B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$. The lemma shows that $\mathcal{V}$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{Q}^\perp$ and so, the pairing of $T(V)$ and $T(V^*)$ induces a pairing of $\mathcal{V}$ and $Q_n^!$.

Proposition 6.4. $\mathcal{S}$ is a basis for $Q_n^!$.

Proof: Suppose $\min A \notin B \subseteq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$\langle \mathcal{V}(A : B \cup \{\min A\}), \mathcal{S}(A : B) \rangle = 1$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{V}(C, D), \mathcal{S}(A : B) \rangle = 0$$

if $|C| < |A|$ or if $|C| = |A|$ and $C \neq A$ or if $\min A \in D$ and $D \neq B$. It is then easy to see that $\mathcal{S}$ is linearly independent. In view of Lemma 6.3, this proves the proposition.

Corollary 6.5. *gr $Q_n^!$ is presented by generators $\{v^*(A) | \emptyset \neq A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ and relations $\bar{S}_1 \cup \bar{S}_2 \cup \bar{S}_3$ where*

$$\bar{S}_1 = \{v^*(A)v^*(B) | B \not\subseteq A \text{ or } |B| \neq |A|, |A| - 1\},$$

$$\bar{S}_2 = \{v^*(C) \sum_{i \in C} v^*(C \setminus i) | |C| \geq 2\},$$

$$\bar{S}_3 = \{v^*(C)^2 | \emptyset \neq C \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Clearly if $i > 0$ then $\mathcal{S} \cap Q_{n,i}^!$ is a basis for $Q_{n,i}^!$. Thus, for $i > 0$, $\dim Q_{n,i}^!$ is equal to $|\mathcal{S} \cap Q_{n,i}^!|$. This is the same as $|\{\mathcal{S}(A : B) \in \mathcal{S} \mid |B| = i - 1\}|$. Now $|\{\mathcal{S}(A : B) \in \mathcal{S} \mid |B| = i - 1 \text{ and } |A| = u\}| = \binom{n}{u} \binom{u-1}{i-1}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
H(Q_n^!, t) &= 1 + \sum_{i>0} \left(\sum_{u=i}^n \binom{n}{u} \binom{u-1}{i-1} \right) t^i \\
&= 1 + t \sum_{i>0} \left(\sum_{u=i}^n \binom{n}{u} \binom{u-1}{i-1} \right) t^{i-1} \\
&= 1 + t \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{u=v+1}^n \binom{n}{u} \binom{u-1}{v} \right) t^v \\
&= 1 + t \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{u-1} \binom{n}{u} \binom{u-1}{v} t^v \\
&= 1 + t \sum_{u=1}^n \binom{n}{u} \sum_{v=0}^{u-1} \binom{u-1}{v} t^v \\
&= 1 + t \sum_{u=1}^n \binom{n}{u} (t+1)^{u-1} \\
&= 1 + \frac{t}{t+1} \sum_{u=1}^n \binom{n}{u} (t+1)^u \\
&= 1 + \frac{t}{t+1} ((2+t)^n - 1) = \frac{1}{t+1} (t+1 + t(2+t)^n - t) \\
&= \frac{1}{t+1} (1 + t(2+t)^n).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [B] Bourbaki N., *Algebra I. Chapters 1-3*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [GGR], *Noncommutative algebras associated to complexes and graphs (math.QA)*, Selecta Math (to appear) (2001).
- [GLR] Gohberg, I., Lancaster, P., and Rodman, L., *Matrix polynomials*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [GR1] Gelfand, I., and Retakh, V., *Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings*, Funct. An. Appl. **25** (1991), no. 2, 91-102.
- [GR2] Gelfand, I., and Retakh, V., *A theory of noncommutative determinants and characteristic functions of graphs*, Funct.An. Appl. **26** (1992), no. 4, 1-20.
- [GR3] Gelfand, I., and Retakh, V., *Noncommutative Vieta theorem and symmetric functions*, in: Gelfand Math.Seminars 1993-95 (1996), Birkhauser, Boston.
- [GR4] Gelfand, I., and Retakh, V., *A theory of noncommutative determinants and characteristic functions of graphs.I*, in: Publ. LACIM, UQAM **14** (1993), 1-26.
- [GR5] Gelfand, I., and Retakh, V., *Quasideterminants, I*, Selecta Math. **3** (1997), 417-546.
- [GRW] Gelfand, I., Retakh, V., and Wilson, R.L., *Quadratic-linear algebras associated with factorizations of noncommutative polynomials and noncommutative differential polynomials (math.QA)*, Selecta Math. (to appear) (2001).
- [L] Lam, T.Y., *A first course in noncommutative rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [O] Ore, O., *Theory of non-commutative polynomials*, Annals Math. **34** (1933), 480-508.
- [P] Positselski, L., *The correspondence between Hilbert series of quadratically dual algebras does not imply their having the Koszul property*, Funct. Analysis and its Appl. **29** (1995), no. 3, 213-217.
- [R] Roos, J.-E., *On the characterization of Koszul algebras. Four counter-examples.*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci Paris, ser. I **321** (1995), 15-20.
- [U] Ufnarovskij, V. A., *Combinatorial and asymptotic methods in algebra*, Algebra VI (A.I. Kostrikin and I.R. Shafarevich, eds) (1995), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1-196.
- [W] Wilson, R.L., *Invariant polynomials in the free skew field*, Selecta Math (to appear).

I. G., V. R. AND R. W.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019

S. G.: AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY, P.O.BOX 6248, PROVIDENCE, RI 02940 AND INSTITUTE FOR PROBLEMS OF INFORMATION TRANSMISSION, 19, ERMOLOVA STR., MOSCOW, 103051, RUSSIA

S. S.: IME-UFG CX POSTAL 131 GOIANIA - GO CEP 74001-970 BRAZIL

E-mail address:

I. G. : `igelfand@math.rutgers.edu`

S. G. : `sxg@ams.org`

V. R. : `vretakh@math.rutgers.edu`

S. S. : serconek@math.rutgers.edu
R. W. : rwilson@math.rutgers.edu